

Proposed Licensing of Funfairs (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Professional with experience in a relevant subject

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Robert Thomas kayes showmanship guild of Great Britain . Scottish section

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal - Aim and approach

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to exempt funfairs from Public Entertainment Licensing requirements and to create a distinct new licensing system for funfairs in Scotland?

Fully Supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response

The system has been a thorn I. The industry's side and it serves no real purpose and it doesn't make sense

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to exempt funfairs from Public Entertainment Licensing requirements and to create a distinct new licensing system for funfairs in Scotland?

that different councils charged different prices

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response

Yes it can by saving unnecessary money on applications and unnecessary paperwork

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

A bit less stress on any event organisers and less of a minefeild with different councils and boroughs

Q4. What do you think will be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

More paperwork more time liaising and more money as well

Q5. What do you think the maximum time available should be for local authorities to make a decision on an application to hold a funfair?

more than 28 days (please specify)

Please explain the reasons for your response.

To give the organisation time to implement such matters as security toilets fencing power from generators as well as advance advertising and informing rides and games in good time for them to be organised

Q6. How do you think fees should be determined for local authorities to process an application?

single fee fixed in statute

Please explain the reasons for your response, including details of the amount of any suggested fees.

All councils and boroughs to have similar tariffs same as road tax no one borough charges more money than any other one

Q7. What is your view on what should happen to the fee in cases where an application is refused?

Part of the fee returnable to the applicant

Please explain the reasons for your response

Q7. What is your view on what should happen to the fee in cases where an application is refused?

Unsuccessful applicants should at least get half of the fee refunded

Page 14: Financial implications

Q8. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
(a) Showpeople			X			
(b) Local authorities			X			
(c) General public				X		

Please explain the reasons for your response

It could even put across the board

Page 15: Equalities

Q9. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion and belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

Positively a good all round effect

Q10. In what ways could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on equality be minimised or avoided?

More paperwork more hassle more money

Page 17: Sustainability

Q11. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Q11. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Yes the sooner the better

Page 18: General

Q12. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to exempt funfairs from Public Entertainment Licensing requirements and to create a distinct new licensing system for funfairs in Scotland?

It was unnecessary from day one the sooner it's done away with the easier it will be for event organisers to do their business with a few less hurdles